

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

			www.azpas.gov		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/211,950	12/15/1998	ALAN K. WALBECK	INTELOG.002A	9113	
20995	7590 03/26/2002				
	ARTENS OLSON &	EXAMINER			
SIXTEENTH		LE, HIEU C			
NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2153		
		DATE MAILED: 03/26/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N

,								
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.		Applicant(s)			
		09/211,95	50		WALBECK ET AL			
		Examiner			Art Unit			
			Hieu c. Le			2153		
Perio		The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the	cover			ldress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 30 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is	non-fir	nal.			
3	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disp	ositi	on of Claims						
4	\boxtimes	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.						
	4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from cor	nsidera	ition.			
5	6)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6	\boxtimes	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7	<u>'</u>)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8	\boxtimes	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection req	uireme	ent.			
Appl	icatio	on Papers						
9	ר 🗆 (י	he specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) <u> </u>	the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept						
	_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) <u> </u>	he proposed drawing correction filed on	, ,	•	• • • • • •	ed by the Examine	er.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
		he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
	_	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13		Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority und	der 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
		1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have beer	n recei	ved.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
15)	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attach			•					
2) 🔲	Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		_	Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PT0		

	Application	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/211,950	WALBECK ET AL.					
merview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hieu c. Le	2153					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Hieu c. Le</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Henderson W Lee (41830)</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 11 March 2002.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed:							
Identification of prior art discussed: of record.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's Atttorney, Lee W. Henderson was contact on 3/11/02 regarding the restriction. Lee requested that a written restriction be made and did not elect any grouping during the telephone interview</u> .							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).							
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	 Examiner	s signature, if required	-				

Art Unit: 2153

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a method for arbitrating use of a network medium to avoid collisions caused by multiple nodes attempting to transmit data on the network medium at the same time, classified in class 709, subclass 226.
 - II. Claim 16, drawn to a method for dynamic arbitration of access to a network medium, dynamic arbitration is based on activity of network nodes attached to the medium, classified in class 709, subclass 229.
 - III. Claims 13-15, 17-24, 25-27, drawn to a hybrid client/server and peer -to -peer networking architecture to provide central control of a network medium, with distributed accessibility of the network medium, classified in class 709, subclass

225.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a method for preventing collisions caused by multiple nodes; Invention II has separate utility such as a method for dynamically arbitration of access network, invention III has separate utility such as a central control networking architecture. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/211950

Art Unit: 2153

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required

for Group I, II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is

proper.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Henderson W. Lee on 3/11/02 to request an

oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Hieu Le whose telephone number is (703) 306-3101. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM.

The fax number of this Group 2757 is (703) 308-5397 or 305-7201. Any inquiry of a

general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the

Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Page 3

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100